

REPORT ON PARTICIPATORY MAPPING OF AGROBIODIVERSITY

Conducted by NESFAS in 2018 within the “No One Shall Be Left Behind Initiative”

Biodiversity for Food, Nutrition and Energy Security for 3000 Households in
Meghalaya and Nagaland, North East India



The report developed in 2019 by:

Lukas Pawera

Faculty of Tropical AgriSciences

Czech University of Life Sciences Prague

Consultant at the Indigenous Partnership for Agrobiodiversity and Food Sovereignty

Under continuous discussion with:

Bhogtoram Mawroh

Research associate at NESFAS



Table of Contents

Acknowledgment	1
Executive summary	1
1. Introduction and context of the survey	2
2. Objectives of the survey	3
3. Methodology.....	3
3.1 Study area	3
3.2 Development and testing of the methodology.....	3
3.3 Focus group discussions with seasonal calendars and participatory ranking	3
3.4 Plant identification.....	4
3.5 Categorization of plants into the standard food groups	4
3.6 Data handling and analysis	5
4. Results and discussion	5
4.1 Total number of food plants in the study area	5
4.2 Agrobiodiversity of starchy staple food plants	8
4.3 Agrobiodiversity of leafy vegetables.....	9
4.4 Agrobiodiversity of vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables	10
4.5 Agrobiodiversity of other fruits	10
4.6 Agrobiodiversity of other vegetables.....	11
4.7 Agrobiodiversity of pulses.....	12
4.8 Agrobiodiversity of nuts and seeds.....	12
4.9 Specific results on agrobiodiversity, its seasonality, and participatory rankings	13
5. Challenges and lessons learned	24
5.1 Challenges during data collection.....	24
5.2 Challenges during data analysis.....	24
6. Comments and recommendations for future research process.....	24
7. Key suggestions for the project implementation	25
8. References	26
List of figures.....	27
List of tables	28
List of attachments	28

Acknowledgment

I would like to sincerely thank Pius Ranee, who was the driving force of bringing me into this survey and experience with NESFAS and North-east India. Considering the methodology development, I would like to thank the many NESFAS staff for their inputs, and especially to Bhogtoram Mawroh, who provided many useful comments and joined me in exciting continuous discussions. Thanks also go to the program director Kong Melari Nongrum who approved and supported my work with NESFAS. Last but not least, profound thanks go to Bah Phrang Roy, who trusted to a passionate ethnobiologist and who therefore enabled me to experience the remarkable agrobiodiversity and biocultural diversity of North-East India.

Executive summary

In North-East India, poor diet and nutritional status of the communities should be addressed as soon as possible to achieve human and socio-economic development. This should be achieved in a sustainable manner while conserving biodiversity. One of the promising options could be to harness the potential of extraordinary biodiversity of crops and wild food plants, with many of them being extremely nutritious. However, without having information on the available food resources, any progress can be hardly achieved. Therefore, the main objectives of this survey were to document local agrobiodiversity and to understand its perceived characteristics among communities where NESFAS implements a REC-funded project aiming to tap the potential of agrobiodiversity for food, nutrition, and livelihood. Focus group discussions with 848 participants from 32 villages were conducted. The seasonal calendars with crop lists and participatory rankings were the primary data collection tools. On average, a studied village showed to have 200 food plants when all cultivated and wild food plants were considered, including mushrooms, condiments and crop varieties. The highest number of food plants was found in Khweng village (319 food plants), followed by Marmain (297 food plants), and Umsawar (284 food plants). In terms of districts, the most diverse villages were found in Ri Bhoi with an average of 252 food plants per village, followed by Garo Hills (210 food plants), West Khasi Hills (196 food plants), East Khasi Hills (194 food plants), Nagaland (189 food plants), and Jaintia Hills (175 food plants). In a scenario when we excluded condiments, mushrooms, and crop varieties, we found an estimated 124 food plant species (species richness) on average per village. In general, the extent of agrobiodiversity can be considered very high. The documented food plants were categorized into ten standard food groups of dietary diversity. "Other fruits" food group was found to be the most biodiverse, whereas the "Pulses" and "Nuts and seeds" were the least diverse. While the key results and aggregated district-wise findings are present in the report, the village-wise agrobiodiversity profiles, seasonal calendars, participatory rankings, and prioritized food plants to address the dietary diversity gap are available separately. The principal suggestions and learnings are present in the report.

1. Introduction and context of the survey

Malnutrition is responsible for more health problems than any other cause and the burden of malnutrition across the developing world remains unacceptably high (Development Initiatives, 2018). Communities in Meghalaya have both food insecurity and undernutrition unacceptably high (Chyne et al., 2017). Among children below 5 years, 15,3% suffer from wasting, and 43,8% are wasted. Anemia is also a significant concern amongst children in the age group of 6-59 months (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2016).

A diverse diet is a foundation of human nutrition, health, and sustainable development. Indigenous people's health, well-being, and culture are directly related to their ability to eat traditional foods and continue their traditional food practices (Teron, 2018). In North-East India, Indigenous communities have relied on their traditional diets and ethnic foods (Teron, 2018). Yet, indigenous plants and local minor crops are not being utilized and developed properly in the region (Deka, 2012). Recently among Khasis, Nongrum and Dohtdong (2018) found dietary shifts such as decreased consumption of local foods and increased consumption of polished rice, snacks, and other modern foods. However, in neighboring Nagaland, a better utilization of rich cultivated and wild agrobiodiversity by the Chakhesang tribe appeared to be a good reason for their better nutritional and health status (Longvah et al., 2017).

North-East India represents one of the 12 mega-biodiversity hotspots of the world (Hore, 2007). The region is also extremely rich in crop diversity and wild food plants, with many of them being extremely nutritious (Chyne et al., 2019). The richness of indigenous foods emerged from diverse agroecosystems, mosaic landscapes, and rich biodiversity of the region, which comprises about 50% of the total biodiversity of India (Mao et al. 2009). Perhaps the most crucial pillars of the local food systems are the Indigenous territories and local land-use systems. The provisioning of indigenous foods is known to be strongly linked to the maintenance, health, and accessibility of indigenous lands (Kuhnlein et al., 2009). But with globalization, agricultural intensification through monocultures, population increase and other socio-economic pressures, the landscapes and communities' foodways are changing at an unprecedented rate. In Meghalaya, land uses systems are changing rapidly such as from shifting cultivation fields into the permanent plots of cash crop monocultures (e.g. broom grass, areca nut, citrus, or rubber tree) or into more intensive *bun* terraces. Considering these rapid changes, the agrobiodiversity, traditional food systems and indigenous territories of the region should be documented and preserved as vital options of food and nutrition security, sustainability of production and consumption, and resilience of the communities. Through enhanced consumption, processing and value addition, local agrobiodiversity holds the potential to alleviate the poverty, food and nutritional insecurity (Deka, 2012). The agrobiodiversity and local foods can be part of future programs on revitalization or the creation of nutrition-sensitive landscapes (Broegaard et al., 2017; Powell et al., 2015).

2. Objectives of the survey

- To document (map) diversity of food plants in 32 villages of Meghalaya and Nagaland
- To prioritize target food plants for further intervention in a participatory way

3. Methodology

3.1 Study area

Both Meghalaya and Nagaland are characterized by hilly terrain, but while the former is a plateau belonging to the ancient Gondwana Massif, the latter is a part of the more recent Arakan Yoma mountain range. The hills rise from the Brahmaputra valley in Assam from about 600 masl up to an elevation of 1800 masl in the east (mean elevation is around 1000 masl). Latitude and terrain play a critical role in determining the climate and agro-ecological zonation of the districts. The higher elevations enjoy a temperate climate, whereas the lower altitudes have subtropical and tropical conditions. Rainfall is high in all areas because of the influence on the Monsoon winds, which arrives in June and start retreating by September. Both Meghalaya and Nagaland have very high biodiversity with large evergreen to semi-evergreen forests covering more than 60% of the area. It is in terms of ethnic composition, where the difference between the states becomes very obvious. While Meghalaya is mostly composed of two distinct tribes, Khasi-Jaintia and Garo, Nagaland has 16 major tribes. The literacy levels in the studied districts vary in between 60-80% (NESFAS, 2017).

Although the whole project area covers 130 villages-communities from the 8 districts of Meghalaya and 3 districts of Nagaland, the dietary diversity survey selected respondents from 32 villages chosen systematically across all the districts covered by the project. In Meghalaya, specifically, eleven villages were chosen from the East Khasi hills, four from West Khasi Hills, four from West Jaintia Hills, five from West Garo Hills, and five from Ri Bhoi. In Nagaland, three villages were selected from Phek district and one from Noklak district. The particular villages included in the survey were selected by NESFAS, and the main criteria for including villages were to have more than 50 households and village proximity to the road.

3.2 Development and testing of the methodology

The methodology was designed during the previous visit of the consultant in July 2018 in collaboration with the NESFAS research team. At that time, our team developed a methodology that was tested in the Khweng village, and suggestions based on the testing were presented, discussed, and fine-tuned together with NESFAS. The finalized FGD guides and supporting materials were shared with NESFAS. Next year in 2018, NESFAS hired and trained data enumerators, which in tandems (1 facilitator and 1 note taker) collected the data related to participatory species mapping and dietary diversity.

3.3 Focus group discussions with seasonal calendars and participatory ranking

Focus group discussions (FGDs) were conducted to inventory and discuss the local food plants. Prior to the FGD sessions, the purpose, procedure, and outcomes of the discussions were explained to the communities. The Code of Ethics of the Indigenous Partnership for

Agrobiodiversity and Food Sovereignty was followed (Indigenous Partnership, 2011), and the free, prior, and informed consent was obtained. The sessions were of mixed gender, which was culturally suitable, and knowledgeable and active participants were purposively selected (Bernard, 2002). The emphasis was on including voices of diverse age groups, women and men, and community members with different socio-economic status including vulnerable ones. The FGDs were facilitated by a trained team of two moderators, one facilitating the discussion and another one taking notes. In total, 848 respondents participated in FGDs conducted in project villages in East Khasi Hills, West Khasi Hills, West Jaintia Hills, West Garo Hills, and Ri Bhoi. The proportion of women and men participants was 47% to 53%, respectively.

The seasonal calendar with a complete list of food plant diversity was the main tool used during FGDs (PAR, 2018). The seasonal crop and food variation were captured through a monthly and seasonal check. In fact, only the food availability periods since harvesting were enquired. To have data on the diversity of individual land uses, each food plant was cross-checked for its places of occurrence. The plant list was complemented by a participatory group ranking of selected plant characteristics important for the communities. Ranking and scoring are participatory techniques commonly used in ethnobotany (Martin, 2004). After the testing in Khweng village, the plant characteristics that were decided to be ranked throughout the whole survey were: plant abundance, taste, seed access, economic value, easy cultivation/collection, climate resilience, pest and disease resistance. The ranking scores varied from 1 to 3 with three being the highest rank. The study focused on local food plants and although communities obtain some food items through purchases on the markets, the study did not look at the diversity available on the markets. Another limitation of the study is that it did not cover animal-based food groups (i.e., Meat, poultry and fish; Eggs; Dairy).

3.4 Plant identification

Throughout the report, we use the term “food plants” which covers wild and cultivated plant species as well as varieties, following the folk classification. The pictures of food plants were taken by the research or communication team. However, not all the local food plants could be found during the fieldwork time and therefore some plants are documented only by local names. Some of these plants with more familiar local names were identified by a scientific literature review where local and Latin names were compared. Unfortunately, the botanical collection of specimens of lesser-known plant species has not been conducted so far and thus numerous food plants remained unidentified. The scientific names follow The Plant List (2013) and the common English names follow Plant Resources of South-East Asia (Pl@ntUse, 2019), Plants For A Future (PFAF, 2012), and Mansfeld’s World Database of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops (IPK Gatersleben, 2019). The plant list is not present in the current report, but it is available as a separate agrobiodiversity database and agrobiodiversity catalogue, which are being developed by NESFAS with technical back up from the consultant.

3.5 Categorization of plants into the standard food groups

Dietary diversity is an indicator defined as the number of different food groups consumed over the last 24 hours. Dietary diversity score is a validated proxy indicator of dietary

adequacy, i.e. micronutrient adequacy (FAO and FHI, 2016). To break down and categorize local agrobiodiversity, we followed the dietary diversity approach as per the guidelines of Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women (FAO and FHI, 2016). This guide classifies food biodiversity into 10 standard food groups. Out of the ten groups, seven of them are plant-based and therefore can be applied to food plants, i.e., 1. Grains, white roots and tubers, and plantains; 2. Pulses (beans, peas, and lentils); 3. Nuts and seeds; 4. Dark green leafy vegetables; 5. Other vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables; 6. Other vegetables; and 7. Other fruits. The plants in an additional food group of “Condiments” were also documented even though it does not belong to the 10 standard groups as consumed in small amounts (FAO and FHI, 2016).

3.6 Data handling and analysis

The primary data collected in the field were transcribed from the filled questionnaires into the Excel working sheets. Subsequently, we kept the data separately in the village-wise datasheets, and the first cleaning and cross-checking process was conducted. Afterward, the analysis started by categorizing all the plants into the food categories. The less common foods had to be discussed with NESFAS staff, associated field staff or verified by a phone call with custodian farmers. The results of agrobiodiversity profile, seasonality calendar, and rankings are available for each village in the separate excel sheets.

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Total number of food plants in the study area

Through the plant listing and seasonal calendars, the overall diversity of food plants was documented. Figure 1 shows the total number of food plants in particular villages when all edible plant species, crop varieties, condiments, and mushrooms are considered. On average, a studied village showed to have 200 food plants. The highest number of food plants was found in Khweng village (319 food plants), followed by Marmain (297 food plants), and Umsawar (284 food plants). In terms of districts, the highest mean diversity per village was found in Ri Bhoi (252 food plants), followed by Garo Hills (210 food plants), West Khasi Hills (196 food plants), East Khasi Hills (194 food plants), Nagaland (189 food plants), and Jaintia Hills (175 food plants). In general, the level of local agrobiodiversity can be considered very high. In future studies, the agrobiodiversity levels could be quantified at an individual level and per land area. A household crop inventory would not only assess the total richness but also showed the crop evenness and distribution across the village.

Total number of food plants




Figure 1 Total number of food plants

Figure 2 expresses the total number of food plants without condiments, which are nutritionally not significant as consumed in small amounts (FAO and FIE, 2016). In this case when condiments excluded, a studied village had 190 food plants on average. Although the diversity is slightly lower now, the most diverse villages remain the same as before, i.e., Khweng village (296 food plants), Marmain (280 food plants), and Umsawar (266 food plants). The mean village diversity per district also remain in the same order, i.e., first Ri Bhoi (235 food plants), followed by Garo Hills (204 food plants), West Khasi Hills (189 food plants), East Khasi Hills (181 food plants), Nagaland (177 food plants), and Jaintia Hills (168 food plants).

Total number of food plants (no condiments)




Figure 2 Total number of food plants (no condiments)

If we exclude not only condiments but also mushrooms (as the REC project does not promote mushrooms), the mean number of food plants per village is 174. Without condiments and mushrooms, the most biodiverse villages are still the same with first Khweng (273 food plants), then Marmain (255 food plants), and Umsawar (243 food plants). The mean village diversity within the district also remain in the same order, with Ri Bhoi (216 food plants), followed by Garo Hills (197 food plants), West Khasi Hills (173 food plants), East Khasi Hills (165 food plants), Nagaland (160 food plants), and Jaintia Hills (147 food plants). Generally, we can see that food plant numbers dropped down as edible mushrooms are widely available in all the districts (Figure 3). In the present mapping survey, mushrooms were not being identified and only their vernacular names were captured. A future study could have a more specific lens on edible mushrooms.




Figure 3 Total number of food plants (without condiments and mushrooms)

In the last situation, when along with condiments and mushrooms we also exclude crop varieties (Figure 4), the mean estimated number of species per village is 124. In this scenario, the order of best diverse villages changed with first being Ganol Sangma (163 species), followed by Umsawar (162 species), and Pathso (157 species). The mean species richness per village within the district turned to be highest for Nagaland (145 species), and subsequently Ri Bhoi (137 species), Garo Hills (125 species), East Khasi Hills (121 species), Jaintia Hills (110 species), and lastly West Khasi Hills (109 species). Despite the apparent decrease in numbers, the number of food plant species are still remarkable. It should be noted, that species richness is a more common and globally recognized indicator of biodiversity.

Estimated food plant species richness (no condiments, mushrooms, and varieties)




Figure 4 Estimated food plant species richness (no condiments, no mushrooms, and no varieties)

4.2 Agrobiodiversity of starchy staple food plants

Now we will look at the food plant diversity in individual food groups of dietary diversity (FAO and FHI, 2016). First, in Figure 5, we looked at the diversity of starchy staples, which is an important pillar of food sovereignty. The communities still maintain a high diversity of staple food plants, with at least 8 species being used (rice, potato, sweet potato, cassava, taro, tania, maize, wild yams, job’s tears). These species further have several varieties (intraspecific diversity) which were documented. On average, a community in a studied village maintains 33 types of staple food plants. Marmain was the richest village on starchy staples with astounding 82 food plants. Consequent were Chandigre with 64 food plants and Khwenng with 61 food plants. Considering the districts, villages in Garo Hills showed to be the richest on starchy staples with 55 food plants on average. The second was Ri Bhoi (51 food plants), then West Khasi Hills (42 food plants), East Khasi Hills (23 food plants), Jaintia Hills (21 food plants), and Nagaland (19 food plants).

Diversity of starchy staple food plants




Figure 5 Diversity of starchy staple food plants

4.3 Agrobiodiversity of leafy vegetables

Leafy vegetables are nutritionally fundamental category as they are a rich source of iron, a micronutrient that is consumed in inadequate amounts in North-East India (Chyne et al. 2017), contributing to the prevalence of significant health problem of anemia (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, 2016). The mean number of leafy vegetables per village in the study area is high, reaching 32 (Figure 6). The villages with the greatest diversity of leafy vegetables were Nongtalang (59 food plants), Khweng and Umsawar (both 45 food plants), and Chizami (42 food plants). Looking at the districts, Nagaland is the richest in this category with a mean number of 38 leafy greens per village. It follows by Ri Bhoi (38 food plants), Jaintia Hills (34 food plants), East Khasi Hills (30 food plants), Garo Hills (29 food plants), and lastly West Khasi Hills (24 food plants).

Total number of leafy vegetables




Figure 6 Diversity of leafy vegetables

4.4 Agrobiodiversity of vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables

Vitamin A-rich plants, meaning orange or dark-yellow fleshed fruits and vegetables (rarely also some staples such as orange sweet potato) are important sources of provitamin A which is converted to vitamin A and absorbed in the human body. Globally, there are only a few plant sources that are rich in vitamin A. Therefore, the potential of these rare but nutritionally remarkable species should be leveraged. Nine vitamin A-rich food plants were found on average per village (Figure 7). Mawkma and Umsawar showed to have the highest diversity of vitamin A-rich plants with 16 and 15 food plants, respectively. District-wise, villages in East Khasi Hills are the richest on this plant category (11 food plants), followed by Ri Bhoi and Jaintia Hills (9 food plants), Nagaland and West Khasi Hills (8 food plants), and lastly Garo Hills (7 food plants).




Figure 7 Diversity of vitamin A-rich plants

4.5 Agrobiodiversity of other fruits

The biodiversity of fruits is the highest out of all the food groups. Nevertheless, this extraordinary diversity is vastly underutilized, as we found that other fruits are consumed by just about 25% of the people in the study area (NESFAS, 2019). Yet in a village, a remarkable number of 56 food plants of other fruits were documented on average (Figure 8). The most diverse village was Umsawar (87 food plants), and then Nongriangka and Langshongthiang (78 food plants). As per districts, villages in West Khasi Hills were the most diverse with 64 food plants on average. Afterward, Garo Hills 62 food plants, East Khasi Hills (56 food plants), Nagaland (54 food plants), Ri Bhoi (51 food plants) and Jaintia Hills (47 food plants).




Figure 8 Diversity of other fruits

4.6 Agrobiodiversity of other vegetables

The diversity of other vegetables with a mean of 35 food plants per village is much lower than that of other fruits (Figure 9) but equal to leafy vegetables. Marmain and Khweng, two villages from Ri Bhoi district, showed to have much higher diversity than the rest of the studied villages (72 and 67 food plants, respectively). Villages in Bhoi district thus reached the greatest mean diversity of other vegetables (51 food plants). After that is Garo Hills with 39 food plants, East Khasi Hills with 36 food plants, Jaintia Hills with 26 food plants, West Khasi Hills with 27 food plants, and Nagaland with 26 food plants.




Figure 9 Diversity of other vegetables

4.7 Agrobiodiversity of pulses

The diversity of pulses with a mean of 5 food plants per village is much lower compared to the other food groups (Figure 10). But it is understandable from the community point of view, as local communities consider pulses parts of vegetables. The villages where the greatest diversity of pulses was found were Khweng (15 food plants), and then Phek, Mookjat, and Mynso B (10 food plants). Looking at the districts, the most pulses-diverse villages were found in Jaintia Hills and West Khasi Hills (7 food plants on average), after Nagaland and Ri Bhoi (6 food plants), East Khasi Hills (4 food plants on average), and Garo Hills (3 food plants on average).




Figure 10 Diversity of pulses

4.8 Agrobiodiversity of nuts and seeds

The mean diversity of nuts and seeds is the same as for pulses, equal to 5 food plants in a village (Figure 11). The villages where the highest diversity of nuts and seeds were Marmain (14 food plants), followed by Chizami (11 food plants), and Pathso, Phek, and Khweng (10 food plants). From a district point of view, the highest mean diversity was identified in Nagaland (9 food plants), Ri Bhoi (7 food plants), East Khasi Hills (5 food plants), Jaintia Hills (4 food plants), West Khasi Hills (3 food plants), and the lowest in Garo Hills (2 food plants).




Figure 11 Diversity of nuts and seeds

4.9 Specific results on agrobiodiversity, its seasonality, and participatory rankings

As mentioned in the methodological section, the plant list is not present in the current report, but it is available separately as the excel agrobiodiversity database and agrobiodiversity catalogue, which are being developed by NESFAS with a technical back up from the consultant. Also, the results of agrobiodiversity profile, seasonality calendar with participatory rankings, and prioritized food plants to address the dietary diversity gap are all available for each village in the separate excel sheets submitted to NESFAS. Below is an example of the results for one village (Umsawar):

Table 1 Agrobiodiversity profile of Umsawar village

Umsawar ABD	Number of food plants	Estimated number of species
starchy staples	28	5
leafy vegetables	45	40
other vegetables (with mushrooms)	71	51
other vegetables (without mushrooms)	48	28
other fruits	87	around 65
vitamin A rich plants	15	14
pulses	8	probably 6
nuts and seeds	8	probably 6
condiments	18	9
Total number of food plants		284
Total number of food plants (no condiments)		266
Total number of food plants (no condiments and mushrooms)		243
Total number of food plant species (no condiments, mushrooms, varieties)		around 194
Total number of food plant species (withouth condiments, mushrooms, but no varieties)		around 162




Figure 12 Total number of food plants in Umsawar




Figure 13 Diversity of starchy food plants in Umsawar

Proportion of people reaching a diverse diet in Umsawar




Figure 14 Proportion of respondents consuming a diverse diet in Umsawar




Figure 15 Proportion of respondents consuming particular food groups in Umsawar

Table 2 Food groups prioritized for intervention in Umsawar (based on the dietary diversity results)

Food groups	% of consumers	% of non-consumers	Project scope	Priority order for intervention
starchy staples	100	0	yes	no need
pulses	3	97	yes	1-2.
nuts and seeds	6	94	yes	3.
vitamin A rich plants	3	97	yes	1-2.
leafy vegetables	100	0	yes	no need
other vegetables	78	22	yes	5.
other fruits	9	91	yes	4.
meat	50	50	no	no focus
eggs	16	84	no	no focus
dairy	0	100	no	no focus

Table 3 Agrobiodiversity documented in Umsawar along with description, seasonality and results of participatory ranking (ordered according to prioritization)

1. PRIORITY - PULSES	DD group	Description	Plant name	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Place/Source	Abundance	Taste	Seed access	Economic value	Easy cultivation/collection	Climate resilience	Pest and disease	TOTAL SCORE	INTERVENTION PRIORITY
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Beans variety as pulse	Buri													3	3	3	3	2	3	2	1	17	1
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Beans variety	Rymbai Syniar													3	2	3	2	3	3	2	2	17	1
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Beans variety	Rymbai Shyieng													3,4	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	16	2
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Beans variety	Rymbai Siatkhnam													3	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	16	2
Cultivated vegetables	Vegetables - others	Naga french beans	Bean Naga(French Beans)													3	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	16	2
Staple food	Pulses	Rice bean	rymbai ja													1,2,3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	15	3
Cultivated vegetables - others	Vegetables - others	Peas	Motor													3,4	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	15	3
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Beans variety	Rymbai Japuk													3	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	15	3
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Bean variety	Phyrngop													3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14	4
Cultivated vegetables	Pulses	Soyabean	Rymbai ktung																					0	missing
2. PRIORITY - VITAMIN A-RICH PLANTS																									
2. PRIORITY - VITAMIN A-RICH PLANTS	DD group	Description	Plant name	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Place/Source	Abundance	Taste	Seed access	Economic value	Easy cultivation/collection	Climate resilience	Pest and disease	TOTAL SCORE	INTERVENTION PRIORITY
Cultivated vegetables	Vitamin A rich plants	Tree tomato	Sohbaingon Dieng													3,4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	21	1
Cultivated fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Mango	Soh Pieng													3	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	18	2
Cultivated vegetables	Vitamin A rich plants	Pumpkin (orange)	Pathaw Saw													3,7(Lum)	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	17	3
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Soh jaryngsain													7(Forest)	2	3	3	1	2	2	2	15	4
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Sohnepbah													7(Forest)	2	3	3	1	2	2	2	15	4
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Sohtymmen													7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	2	2	3	15	4
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Sohmynlntar													3,7(f)	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	15	4
Cultivated fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	papaya	Soh Kymphor(Rit)													3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	15	4
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Soh kro													7(Forest)	1	3	2	1	3	2	2	14	5
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Sohpamtieh													7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	14	5
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Sohjyrmi													7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	14	5
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Wild mango	Sohpieng khlaw													7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	2	2	2	14	5
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Orange when ripe	Sohrangsain													7(Forest)	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	13	6

Cultivated fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Bastard oleaster	Soh Shang													1,3	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	13	7
Wild fruits	Vitamin A rich plants	Bastard oleaster	Sohshan													7(Forest)	1	3	2	1	2	2	1	12	8
3. NUTS AND SEEDS	DD group	Description	Plant name	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Place/ Source	Abundance	Taste	Seed access	Economic value	Easy cultivation/ collection	Climate resilience	Pest and disease	TOTAL SCORE	INTERVENTI ON PRIORITY
Cultivated vegetables	nuts and seeds	Perilla	Nei Long													3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	19	1
Wild fruits	nuts and seeds	Chestnut	Soh ot nicob													7(Forest)	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	19	1
Wild fruits	nuts and seeds	Chestnut	Soh ot diengsning													7(Forest)	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	19	1
Wild fruits	nuts and seeds	Chestnut	Soh ot laidong													7(Forest)	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	18	2
Wild fruits	nuts and seeds	Chestnut	Soh ot diengtarsaw													7(Forest)	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	18	2
Cultivated vegetables	nuts and seeds	Black sesame	Nei Dem/ Lieh													3	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	17	3
Wild fruits	nuts and seeds	Chestnut	Soh ot makaleng													7(Forest)	2	3	3	2	3	3	1	17	3
Wild fruits	nuts and seeds	Gynocardia odorata	Sohling/liang													7(Forest)	2	3	2	3	1	2	3	16	4
4. OTHER FRUITS	DD group	Description	Plant name	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Place/ Source	Abundance	Taste	Seed access	Economic value	Easy cultivation/ collection	Climate resilience	Pest and disease	TOTAL SCORE	INTERVENTI ON PRIORITY
Cultivated vegetables	Fruits - Others	Citrus fruit with white flesh	Sohkymphor													3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	21	1
Cultivated vegetables	Fruits - Others	Citrus	Sohjew Myriang													3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	20	2
Cultivated vegetables	Fruits - Others	Small Citrus fruit with white flesh	Soh Jallia													3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	20	2
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	Pomelo	Soh Ball/Bah Red													1,3,7	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	20	2
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	Lemon variety	Soh Jen													3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	19	3
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	pineapple	Pineapple Small													1,3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	19	3
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	Banana	Kait Jaji													3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	19	3
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	Bay berry	Soh Phie													1,2,3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	19	3
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	Plum/berry	Soh Iong													1,2,3	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	19	3
Wild fruits	Fruits - Others	Bay Berry green	Sohphie heh													7(Forest)	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	18	4
Wild fruits	Fruits - Others	Bay Berry	sohphie rit													7(Forest)	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	18	4
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	Like orange but white when ripe	Soh Blenceia White													3	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	18	4
Cultivated fruits	Fruits - Others	jackfruit	Soh Phan/Ram													3	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	18	4

Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit nai												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit sning												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit jymbo												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit sia												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit kseh												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	tit khoh												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit kur iong												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	Tit sohmen												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Wild mushrooms	Vegetables - others	mushroom	tit khohshot												7(Forest)	2	3	2	1	3	2	1	14	7
Cultivated vegetables	Vegetables - others	lettuce	Salad												2,3	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	12	8
Cultivated vegetables	Vegetables - others	Squash	Biscot Shniuh												3	3							3	9
Cultivated vegetables	Vegetables - others	Sponge gourd variety	Sohparew Riangmuid												3,4								0	missing

5. Challenges and lessons learned

5.1 Challenges during data collection

- During FGD, one plant food group was confusing (Staple food plants), under which in many cases people mixed all commonly consumed plants including not only starchy staples but also vegetables and fruits. Next time we shall thus use the alternative name for this food group 'Starchy staples' and in brackets (grains, tubers).
- Challenge is that local people have a different perception and categorization of what is fruit and what is vegetable. Often, vegetables which have edible plant part – fruit, are considered fruits (e.g. Klong, Pathaw, cucumber), this needs guidance by the facilitator.
- If the resources available in the market are not mapped, some nutritious plants and foods consumed by the community might be missed (e.g. nei lieh, nei iong).
- The ranking was not done thoroughly in some cases, for example in Garo Hills, where many plants or whole categories have the same rank.
- Data enumerators often made mistakes in spelling and writing of local plant names. This led to confusion during data analysis, and additional cross-checking was required.

5.2 Challenges during data analysis

- Post-fieldwork recategorization of plants from local categories into standard food categories was challenging, especially as a different person than enumerator did re-categorization, and as the photos and specimens were not yet available.
- Creating a plant database and aggregating plant identity at the species level from different ethnic languages is challenging. This requires good skills of botany, plant pictures, and a particularly good understanding of local languages.
- The entry of data by data entry operator was very useful, but some plants in the sheets of seasonal calendars were spread over two rows, which had to be removed for analysis of ranking into a single row.
- Plant identification to English and Latin names has been done to a good extent by ethnobotanical and agrobiodiversity literature review. Nevertheless, identification of many plants without specimens and the help of a botanist could not be made.

6. Comments and recommendations for future research process

- In the future, direct cross-checking of locally categorized foods into standard food groups should be done by the enumerators when still in the field. Therefore, better training of enumerators on local categories and standard food groups would improve data quality.

- Enumerators should also more strongly probe whether the community is listing local food plants that exist in the village (after the cross-checking, it was found that some of the listed crops may not exist in the village, and on the contrary, some plants were forgotten).
- For the language issue (and to prevent common misspelling of plant names), the enumerators should be from the study area, or if not, they should have a local assistant.
- FGD records and notes should be explored during the data analysis.
- There should be enough time for proper training of data enumerators, and then a reliable communication and supervision of the field team should be ensured (at least during the beginning of the fieldwork).
- For future cross-study comparisons, the diversity should also be assessed at the species level (without varieties). Nevertheless, for that, further cross-checking of vernacular names and also the botanical identification of lesser-known food plants need to be initiated by NESFAS
- It would be also interesting to explore the data and compare the diversity from a land-use point of view

7. Key suggestions for the project implementation

- Categorizing and ranking all plants from 32 villages was finalized and matched with the dietary diversity data. Now the participatory feedback and reality check on prioritized species should be conducted and the final crop list prioritized with the communities.
- The creation of ABD database and ABD catalogue will be useful outputs helping NESFAS staff and stakeholders to orient within the extraordinary agrobiodiversity of North-East India. These outputs should continuously evolve with upcoming new information.
- Keep photo-documenting plants and cross-checking plant names across the areas and ethnic groups (same plant species can have different names according to region, ethnic group, dialect).
- The crop seasonal calendar data can be turned into a community tool for improving diet and awareness, and for other activities such as farmer markets, events and so on.
- Feel free to fully explore the data and use it for further project activities and future research

8. References

- Bernard HR (2002) *Research methods in anthropology: qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Oxford, UK: Altamira Press.
- Broegaard, R.B., Rasmussen, L.V., Dawson, N., Mertz, O., Vongvisouk, T. & Grogan, K. (2017). Wild food collection and nutrition under commercial agriculture expansion in agriculture-forest landscapes. *Forest Policy and Economics* 94, 92-101.
- Deka, B. C., Thirugnanavel, A., Patel, R. K., Nath, A., & Deshmukh, N. (2012). Horticultural diversity in Northeast India and its improvement for value addition. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 72(2), 157.
- Development Initiatives (2018). *2018 Global Nutrition Report: Shining a light to spur action on nutrition*. Bristol, UK: Development Initiatives.
- Hore D.K. (2007). *Diversity in Agri-Horticultural Plants: An Experience with North-East India*. In: Tandon P, Abrol YP, Kumaria S (eds). *Biodiversity and its Significance*. New Delhi: I.K. International Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- Indigenous Partnership (2011) *The Code of Ethics of the Indigenous Partnership for Agrobiodiversity and Food Sovereignty was followed*. Retrieved from: <http://agrobiodiversityplatform.org/files/2011/09/Code-of-Ethics-Final-28-February-2011.pdf>
- IPK Gatersleben (2018). *Mansfeld's World Database of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops*. Retrieved from <http://mansfeld.ipk-gatersleben.de/apex/f?p=185:3:0>: (accessed 27.7.2019)
- Kuhnlein, H.V., Erasmus, B. & Spigelski, D. (2009). *Indigenous peoples' food systems: the many dimensions of culture, diversity and environment for nutrition and health*. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Longvah, T., Khutsoh, B., Meshram, I. I., Krishna, S., Kodali, V., Roy, P. & Kuhnlein, H.V. (2017). Mother and child nutrition among the Chakhesang tribe in the state of Nagaland, North-East India. *Maternal & child nutrition*, 13, e12558.
- Mao, A.A., Hynniewta, T.M. & Sanjappa, M. (2009). Plant wealth of Northeast India with reference to ethnobotany. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 8 (1), 96-103.
- Martin, G.J. (2004). *Ethnobotany: A methods manual*. Plants and People International Conservation. London. UK: Chapman and Hall.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (2016). *National Family Health Survey-4 (2015-2016): State Fact Sheet, Meghalaya*, International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai.
- NESFAS (2017) *No one shall be left behind initiative. Biodiversity for Food, Nutrition, and Energy Security for 3000 Households in Meghalaya and Nagaland, North East India. Baseline Approach Concept Note*. Shillong, NESFAS.

Nongrum, M.S. & Dohtdong, L. (2018). Changes in the consumption of traditional food among the khasi and jaintia people in rural Meghalaya, India. *International Journal of Food and Nutritional Science*, 7(3), 105-110.

PAR (2018). *Assessing Agrobiodiversity: A Compendium of Methods*. Rome: Platform for Agrobiodiversity Research.

PFAF (2019). *Plants For A Future*. Retrieved from <https://pfaf.org/user/Default.aspx> (accessed 27.7.2019)

Pl@ntUse (2019). *Plant Resources of South East Asia (PROSEA)*. Retrieved from https://uses.plantnet-project.org/en/Introduction_to_PROSEA_on_Pl@ntUse (accessed 27.7.2019)

Powell, B., Thilsted, S.H., Ickowitz, A., Termote, C., Sunderland, T. & Herforth, A. (2015). Improving diets with wild and cultivated biodiversity from across the landscape. *Food Security*, 7(3), 535-554.

Satpathy, K.K., Sarma B.K., Goswami S.N. & Verma N.D. (2003). *Developing Land Resources*. New Delhi: Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India.

TIP & NESFAS (2019). *Report on dietary diversity survey*. Shillong, India: NESFAS.

Teron, R. (2018). *Ethnic Food Plants and Ethnic Food Preparation of North-East India*. In: Pullaiah, T., Krishnamurthy, K. V., & Bahadur, B. (Eds). *Ethnobotany of India, Volume 3: North-East India and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands*. Apple Academic Press.

List of figures

Figure 1 Total number of food plants in the studied villages

Figure 2 Total number of food plants (no condiments) in the studied villages

Figure 3 Total number of food plants (no condiments, mushrooms) in the studied villages

Figure 4 Estimated food plant species richness (no condiments, mushrooms, and varieties)

Figure 5 Diversity of starchy staple food plants

Figure 6 Diversity of leafy vegetables

Figure 7 Diversity of vitamin A-rich plants

Figure 8 Diversity of other fruits

Figure 9 Diversity of other vegetables

Figure 10 Diversity of pulses

Figure 11 Diversity of pulses

Figure 12 Total number of food plants in Umsawar

Figure 13 Diversity of starchy food plants in Umsawar

Figure 14 Proportion of respondents consuming a diverse diet in Umsawar

Figure 15 Proportion of respondents consuming particular food groups in Umsawar

List of tables

Table 1 Agrobiodiversity profile of Umsawar village

Table 2 Food groups prioritized for intervention in Umsawar (based on the dietary diversity results)

Table 3 Agrobiodiversity documented in Umsawar along with description, seasonality and results of participatory ranking (ordered according to prioritization)

List of attachments

Attachment 1 Key findings from dietary diversity survey and ABD mapping (pdf)

Attachment 2 Overall agrobiodiversity mapping results (excel)

Attachment 3 ABD for DD Umsawar (excel)

Attachment 4 ABD for DD Mustoh (excel)

Attachment 5 ABD for DD Mawkma (excel)

Attachment 6 ABD for DD Laitsopliah (excel)

Attachment 7 ABD for DD Rasong (excel)

Attachment 8 ABD for DD Nongwah (excel)

Attachment 9 ABD for DD Nongpriang (excel)

Attachment 10 ABD for DD Nohron (excel)

Attachment 11 ABD for DD Mawpyrshong (excel)

Attachment 12 ABD for DD Mawhiang (excel)

Attachment 13 ABD for DD Khapmaw (excel)

Attachment 14 ABD for DD Umdum (excel)

Attachment 15 ABD for DD Pyndeng Mawlieh (excel)

Attachment 16 ABD for DD Nongriangka (excel)

Attachment 17 ABD for DD Langshongthiang (excel)

Attachment 18 ABD for DD Khweng (excel)

Attachment 19 ABD for DD Marmain (excel)

Attachment 20 ABD for DD Mawiong (excel)

Attachment 21 ABD for DD Khliehumstem (excel)

Attachment 22 ABD for DD Samenong (excel)

Attachment 23 ABD for DD Nongtalang (excel)

Attachment 24 ABD for DD Mynso B (excel)

Attachment 25 ABD for DD Mookjat (excel)

Attachment 26 ABD for DD Tosekgre (excel)

Attachment 27 ABD for DD Sasatgre (excel)

Attachment 28 ABD for DD Samigre (excel)

Attachment 29 ABD for DD Chandigre (excel)

Attachment 30 ABD for DD Ganol Sangma (excel)

Attachment 31 ABD for DD Pathso (excel)

Attachment 32 ABD for DD Phek (excel)

Attachment 33 ABD for DD Phor (excel)

Attachment 34 ABD for DD Chizami (excel)